

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/697,005	10/25/2000		Albert Evaraerts	56117 USA 1A	4526
32692	7590	10/07/2003		EXAM	INER
3M INNOV		PROPERTIES CO	FISCHER,	FISCHER, JUSTIN R	
ST. PAUL,	. — .	33-3427	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				1733	

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	_	A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/697,005	EVARAERTS ET AL
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit
	Justin R Fischer	1733
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover she twith the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 10 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date of		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverser, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:	
(a)  they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);	
<ul><li>(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

10. Other: See Continuattion Sheet

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 16.

Application/Control Number: 09/697,005 Page 2

Art Unit: 1733

Continuation of 5: While applicant contends that Hoescht fails to teach a pressure sensitive adhesive, it is unclear how the adhesive of the claimed invention differs from that disclosed by Hoescht. In an analogous manner to the claimed invention, Hoescht (Page 6 and 7 of the translation) suggests that the over-tackified adhesive is formed of (a) a synthetic resin, such as styrene-butadiene rubber, butyl rubber, and styrene block polymers and (b) a tackifier, such as partially hydrogenated rosins and terpenes. Applicant defines these exact components on Page 5 and 6 of the original disclosure. Also, in an analogous manner to the claimed invention, Hoescht (Page 8 of translation) suggests that a plasticizing agent, such as phthalates, is arranged on said over-tackified adhesive. Applicant defines the same plasticizing agent on Page 15, Line 29 of the original disclosure. Thus, the over-tackified adhesive and the plasticizing agent disposed thereon are the same as that disclosed by the claimed invention. As for the step of "forming a pressure sensitive adhesive", applicant provides the following description: "to transform the over-tackified adhesive to a material that exhibits pressure sensitive adhesive properties, a plasticizing agent is used" (Page 5, Lines 26-27). Therefore, the use of plasticizing agent (same as disclosed by applicant) in the method of Hoescht would be expected to provide the over-tackified adhesive with pressure sensitive properties in an analogous manner to the claimed invention. It is additionally noted, as set forth in claim 6, that applicant suggests that heat can be applied to the plasticizing agent to cause it to activate the adhesive to form a pressure sensitive adhesive. This is the exact method of Hoescht- the plasticizing agent is heated to allow it to melt/mix with the tackifier in the adhesive to render the adhesive tacky. It is unclear how the adhesive formulation of the claimed invention differs from that described by Hoescht, such that the adhesive of the claimed invention is a pressure sensitive adhesive and that of Hoescht is not. Lastly, although Hoescht defines the adhesive as a "heat sensitive stock", this language is being used to suggest that the adhesive is rendered tacky by application of heat in the same manner as the claimed invention. Thus, the adhesive of the claimed invention, while being a pressure sensitive adhesive, is also "heat sensitive adhesive stock" in light of the disclosure of Hoescht.

Application/Control Number: 09/697,005

Art Unit: 1733

Continuation of 10: The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on September 22, 2003 was filed after the mailing date of the final rejection on June 10, 2003. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Page 3

Justin Fischer

October 3, 2003